## **United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois**

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	James F. I	Holderman	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge			
CASE NUMBER (		R 943	DATE	10/11	/2002	
CASE TITLE		USA vs. EDNA	A CLEMONS & NE	EELY CLEMONS		
[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the of the motion being presented.]					I (b) state briefly the nature	
DOCKET ENTRY:						
(1)	motion of [ use listing	g in "Motion" box ab	ove.]			
(2) 🗆 Brief i	rief in support of motion due					
(3)   Answe	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due					
(4)   Ruling	Ruling/Hearing on set for at					
(5) 🗆 Status	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(6) $\square$ Pretria	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(7)	Trial[set for/re-set for] on at					
(8) □ [Bencl	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at					
	This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]  ☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ Local Rule 41.1 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).					
counsel are re the fees and Defendants a do so. Priva	elieved from their costs incurred the re to retain couns	respective appoin us far in this case el of their choice p unsel are directed	randum Opinion ar tments in this case. under the CJA by orivately because de to file their appear	Defendants are ord their respective ap fendants have the f	lered to reimburse ppointed counsel. inancial ability to	
(11) <b>I</b> [For fi	orther detail see orde	r attached to the origi	nal minute order.]			
No notices required, ac	dvised in open court.				Document Number	
No notices required.			-	number of notices	Muliber	
Notices mailed by judge's staff.  Notified counsel by telephone.				OCT 1 5 2002		
Docketing to mail notices.				date docketed	12	
Mail AO 450 form.		oo Tomasio . <b>s.</b>	n	docketing displity initials		
Copy to judge/magistrate judge.		14370		10/11/2002		
70	courtroom	S HE LITTODS	0	date mailed notice		
ıs 🗴	deputy's initials {		received in erk's Office	JS mailing deputy initials		

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
Plaintiff,	) )	
V.	) ) No.02	2 CR 943
EDNA CLEMONS and NEELY CLEMONS  Defendants.	) ) )	
	) OPINION AND ORDER	DOCKETED OCT 1 5 2002

## JAMES F. HOLDERMAN, District Judge:

Defendants Edna and Neely Clemons, wife and husband, were charged in this case on September 30, 2002 by the United States Attorney in a one count Criminal Information.

The Information charged that the defendants:

[D]id knowingly and willfully obtain and attempt to obtain by fraud and materially false statements, funds and property provided by the United States Department of Education, that is, Pell Grant funds for Students A and B, totaling in excess of \$150, by causing to be submitted Student Aid Reports in which defendants knowingly and falsely represented that their family earned an income and paid an amount in federal income tax which was much less than what in fact their family earned in income and paid in federal income taxes.

In violation of Title 20, United States Code, Section 1097(a), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

At the defendants' initial appearance and arraignment on October 8, 2002, each defendant sought appointment of counsel under the Criminal Justice Act ("CJA"), 18 USC § 3006A, and each submitted a financial affidavit in support of the request. Edna Clemons' financial affidavit indicates that she is employed by the Chicago Board of Education and has a monthly income of \$3,542 from that employment. Neely Clemons' financial affidavit indicates that his monthly income with Ford Motor Co. is \$3,331. They own their own home valued at \$72,000, free and clear of any mortgage. They each own a motor vehicle. Edna Clemons owns a 1994 Ford Explorer valued at \$3,400, and Neely Clemons owns a 1999 Toyota Camry valued at \$8,500. The defendants' monthly joint income is \$6,873. Deducting the \$1,256 in monthly debt payments the defendants declared in their affidavits, they receive over \$5,600 per month in joint income which they have available to them before taxes.

Based upon these facts, this court believes that defendants Edna and Neely Clemons do not qualify for appointed counsel whose fees and costs are paid under the CJA. The court finds that the income and assets of the defendants are sufficient to render each of the defendants financially able to obtain counsel, to pay for representation by privately retained legal counsel, and to pay for other services necessary for adequate legal representation in this case. See United States v. O'Neil, 118 F.3d 65 (2d Cir. 1997); United States v. Bauer, 956 F.2d 693 (7th Cir. 1992).

The purpose of appointing counsel in criminal cases is to insure that persons charged with crimes will have the advice of someone trained in the law, consistent with the mandate

of the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution. <u>United States v. Meek</u>, 388 F.2d 936 (7th Cir. 1968). The purpose of the CJA is to provide compensation at set hourly rates to the counsel who are appointed to represent persons accused of federal crimes when those accused persons could not otherwise afford counsel. These defendants can afford to be represented by the private counsel of their choice. They should not be represented by appointed counsel who are reimbursed under the CJA.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

(1) Appointed counsel are relieved from their respective appointments under the CJA

regarding the defendants in this case;

(2) The defendants are ordered to reimburse the fees and costs incurred thus far in this

case under the CJA by their respective appointed counsel;

(3) Defendants are to retain counsel of their choice privately because the defendants

have the financial ability to do so;

(4) Privately retained counsel are to file their appearances no later than

October 25, 2002; and

(5) The case is set for report on status on October 29, 2002 at 1:30 p.m., defendants

and their retained counsel are ordered to be present.

ENTER:

JAMES F. HOLDERMAN

United States District Judge

DATE: 10 / 11/02

3